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Introduction and preliminaries

Hausdorff operators were introduced by G. Hardy [1, chapter XI] on the segment, and by C. Georgakis [2] and independently by E. Liflyand and F. Moricz [3] on the whole real line. Their multidimensional generalizations were considered later by G. Brown and F. Moricz [4], and E. Liflyand and A. Lerner [5]. Now it is an active research area. It is enough to note that the Google search by request «Hausdorff operator» gives more then 1 200 000 results. See also survey articles [6; 7] for historical remarks and the state of the art up to 2013.
Hausdorff operators on general groups were defined and studied by the author in [8] and [9]. The purpose of this paper is to define and study Hausdorff operators on Lebesgue and real Hardy spaces over homogeneous spaces of locally compact groups. In what follows G stands for a locally compact group with left Haar measure v. We denote by Aut(G) the space of all topological automorphisms of G endowed with its natural topology (see, e.g., [11, chapter VII, section 1]), $L(Y)$ denotes the space of linear bounded operators on a normed space Y.

In [8] the next definition was proposed.

**Definition 1 [8].** Let $(T, m)$ be a measure space, $G$ be a topological group, $A : T \to \text{Aut}(G)$ be a measurable map, and $\Psi$ be a locally integrable function on $\Omega$. We define the *Hausdorff operator* with the kernel $\Psi$ over the group $G$ by the formula

$$\mathcal{H}_f(x) = \int_{T} \Psi(t) f(A(t)(x)) \, dm(t).$$

By [8, lemma 1] for locally compact $G$ this operator is bounded in $L^p(G)$ ($1 \leq p \leq \infty$) provided

$$\|\Psi\|_{L^p(G)} \leq \int_{T} \|\Psi(t)\| (\text{mod} A(t))^{-1/p} \, dm(t)$$

(for a definition of $\text{mod} A(u)$ see, e.g., [11, chapter VII, section 1]).

Moreover, in [8] and [9] conditions for boundedness of Hausdorff operators on the real Hardy space $H^1(G)$ over metrizable locally compact group $G$ with doubling condition were obtained. In this work, we define Hausdorff operators on homogeneous spaces of locally compact groups and prove analogs of aforementioned results for this situation.

Let $K$ be a compact subgroup of $G$ with normalized Haar measure $\beta$. Consider the quotient space $G/K$ of left cosets $\hat{x} := xK = \pi_K(x)$ ($x \in G$) where $\pi_K : G \to G/K$ stands for a natural projection. We shall assume that the measure $v$ is normalized in such a way that (generalized) Weil’s formula

$$\int g(x) \, dx = \int_{G/K} \left( \int_{K} g(xk) \, dk \right) \, d\lambda(\hat{x})$$

holds for all $g \in L^1(G)$, where $\lambda$ denotes some left $G$-invariant measure on $G/K$ (see, e.g., [11, chapter VII, § 2, No. 5, theorem 2] and especially remark c) after this theorem (left $G$-invariance of $\lambda$ means that $\lambda(xE) = \lambda(E)$ for every Borel subset $E$ of $G/K$ and for every $x \in G$; this measure is unique up to constant multiplier)). Here and below we write $dx$ instead of $d\nu(x)$ and $dk$ instead of $d\beta(k)$. We shall write also $d\hat{x}$ instead of $d\lambda(\hat{x})$.

The function $g : G \to \mathbb{C}$ is called **right $K$-invariant** if $g(\hat{x}k) = g(\hat{x})$ for all $x \in G, k \in K$. For such a function we put $\hat{g}(\hat{x}) := g(x)$. This definition is correct and for $g \in L^1(G)$ formula (1) implies that

$$\int_{G/K} g(x) \, dx = \int_{G/K} \hat{g}(\hat{x}) \, d\hat{x}$$

(recall that $\int_{K} dk = 1$). The map $g \mapsto \hat{g}$ is a bijection between the set of all right $K$-invariant functions on $G$ (all right $K$-invariant functions from $L^1(G)$) and the set of all functions on $G/K$ (respectively functions from $L^1(G/K, \lambda)$).

Let an automorphism $A \in \text{Aut}(G)$ maps $K$ onto itself. Since

$$A(\hat{x}) := A(xK) = \{A(x)k : k \in K\} = A(x)K = \pi_K(A(x))$$

we get a homeomorphism $\hat{A} : G/K \to G/K, \hat{A}(\hat{x}) := \pi_K(A(x))$. Then for every right $K$-invariant function $g$ on $G$ we have $\hat{g}(\hat{A}(\hat{x})) = g(A(x))$. From now on we put

$$\text{Aut}_K(G) = \{ \hat{A} : A \in \text{Aut}(G), A(K) = K \}.$$
Definition 2. Let \((\Omega, \mu)\) be a measure space, \((\hat{A}(u))_{u \in \Omega} \subset \text{Aut}_K(G)\) be a family of homeomorphisms of \(G/K\), and \(\Phi \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega, \mu)\). For a function \(f\) on \(G/K\) we define a Hausdorff operator on \(G/K\) as follows

\[
\left(\mathcal{H}_{\Phi, \hat{A}} f\right)(\hat{x}) := \int_{\Omega} \Phi(u) f\left(\hat{A}(u)(\hat{x})\right)d\mu(u).
\]

As was mentioned by G. Hardy in the case \(\Omega = [0, 1]\) [1, theorem 217] his Hausdorff operators possess some regularity property. A Hausdorff operator in the sense of definition 2 also enjoys this property as the next proposition shows.

### Proposition 1

Suppose that the conditions of definition 2 are fulfilled and the group \(G\) is \(\sigma\)-compact. In order that the transformation \(\mathcal{H}_{\Phi, \hat{A}}\) should be regular, i.e. that \(f \in C(G/K)\), \(f(\hat{x}) \to l\) when \(\hat{x} \to \infty\) should imply \(\mathcal{H}_{\Phi, \hat{A}} f(\hat{x}) \to l\), it is necessary and sufficient that \(\int_{\Omega} \Phi(u) d\mu(u) = 1\).

**Proof.** If \(f(\hat{x}) = 1\) then \(\mathcal{H}_{\Phi, \hat{A}} f(\hat{x}) = \int_{\Omega} \Phi(u) d\mu(u)\). Thus, \(\int_{\Omega} \Phi(u) d\mu(u) = 1\) is a necessary condition.

To prove the sufficiency, first note that since \(\hat{A}(u)\) has continuous inverse, \(f(\hat{x}) \to l\) when \(\hat{x} \to \infty\) implies \(f(\hat{A}(u)\hat{x}) \to l\) when \(\hat{x} \to \infty\). Indeed, \(f(\hat{x}) \to l\) when \(\hat{x} \to \infty\) means that for every \(\varepsilon > 0\) there is a compact \(C_\varepsilon \subset G/K\) such that \(|f(\hat{x}) - l| < \varepsilon\) for \(\hat{x} \in G/C_\varepsilon\). Now if \(\hat{x} \in (G/K) \setminus \hat{A}(u)^{-1}(C_\varepsilon)\) we get \(\hat{A}(u)\hat{x} \in (G/K) \setminus C_\varepsilon\) and therefore \(|f(\hat{A}(u)\hat{x}) - l| < \varepsilon\).

But if, in addition, \(f \in C(G/K)\) the function \(f\) is bounded on \(G/K\) and therefore \(\mathcal{H}_{\Phi, \hat{A}} f(\hat{x}) \to l\) by the Lebesgue theorem (one can apply the Lebesgue theorem, since \(G/K\) is \(\sigma\)-compact).

Thus, proposition 1 shows that Hausdorff operators in a sense of definition 1 gives us a family (for various \(\Phi, \hat{A}(u),\) and \(\Omega\)) of generalized limits at infinity for functions on \(G/K\).

### Hausdorff operators on \(L^p(G/K)\)

In the following we put \(L^p(G/K) := L^p(G/K, \lambda)\) \((p \in [1, \infty])\). Formula (2) implies that \(\|g\|_{L^p(G/K)} = \|g\|_{L^p(G)}\) for every right \(K\)-invariant function \(g \in L^p(G)\).

In this section we give conditions of boundedness of Hausdorff operators on \(L^p(G/K)\). Let \((\Omega, \mu)\) and \((\hat{A}(u))_{u \in \Omega}\) be as in definition 2. For a function \(\Phi\) on \(\Omega\) let

\[
\left\|\Phi\right\|_{p, \hat{A}, g} := \int_{\Omega} \left|\Phi(u)\right|(\text{mod} \hat{A}(u))^{-1/p} d\mu(u).
\]

### Theorem 1

Suppose that the conditions of definition 2 are fulfilled, \(p \in [1, \infty]\), and \(\left\|\Phi\right\|_{p, \hat{A}, g} < \infty\). Then \(\mathcal{H}_{\Phi, \hat{A}}\) is bounded in \(L^p(G/K)\) and

\[
\left\|\mathcal{H}_{\Phi, \hat{A}}\right\|_{L^p(G/K)} \leq \left\|\Phi\right\|_{p, \hat{A}, g}.
\]

**Proof.** Let \(1 < p < \infty\). Every function \(f \in L^p(G/K)\) has the form \(f = \hat{g}\) for a unique right \(K\)-invariant function \(g \in L^p(G)\). Using Minkowski’s integral inequality, we have that

\[
\left\|\mathcal{H}_{\Phi, \hat{A}} f\right\|_{L^p(G/K)} = \left\|\int_{G/K} \Phi(u) f\left(\hat{A}(u)(\hat{x})\right)d\mu(u)\right\|^{1/p} \leq \int_{\Omega} \left|\Phi(u)\right| \left(\int_{G/K} \left|f\left(\hat{A}(u)(\hat{x})\right)\right|^p d\hat{x}\right)^{1/p} d\mu(u).
\]

Since the function \(x \mapsto g\left(\hat{A}(u)(x)\right)\) is right \(K\)-invariant, as well, formula (2) yields
\[ \int_{G/K} |f(A(u)(\hat{x}))|^p \, d\hat{x} = \int_G |g(A(u)(x))|^p \, dx. \]

On the other hand, by [11, chapter VII, subsection 1.4, formula (31)] we have
\[ \int_G |g(A(u)(x))|^p \, dx = (\text{mod } A(u))^{-1} \int_G |g(x)|^p \, dx. \]

Thus,
\[ \left\| \mathcal{H}_{\Phi, A} \right\|_{L^p(G/K)} \leq \int_{G/K} |\Phi(u)| (\text{mod } A(u))^{-1/p} \, d\mu(u) \left( \int_G |g(x)|^p \, dx \right)^{1/p} = \]
\[ \left\| \Phi \right\|_{p, A} \left( \int_{G/K} |f(\hat{x})|^p \, d\hat{x} \right)^{1/p} = \left\| \Phi \right\|_{p, A} \left\| f \right\|_{L^p(G/K)}^{1/p}. \]

For \( p = 1 \) the statement of theorem 1 follows from Fubini’s theorem and for \( p = \infty \) it is obvious.

The following simple example is intended to illustrate preceding constructions.

**Example.** Let \( G \) be the multiplicative group \( \mathbb{C}^\times \) of the complex field \( \mathbb{C} \) and \( K := \{ z \in \mathbb{C}^\times : |z| = 1 \} \) the circle group. Then \( G/K \) can be identified with \( (0, \infty) \) via the map \( zK = \hat{z} \mapsto r, \) where \( r = |z|. \) In other words, we use \( (0, \infty) \) as a model of \( G/K, \) the positive number \( r \) representing the circle of radius \( r. \) Automorphisms of \( G \) have the form \( A(r^\alpha) = r^n e^{i\alpha} \) or \( A(r^{i\alpha}) = r^n e^{-i\alpha} \) \((u \in \mathbb{R}).\) Thus \( \text{Aut}_x(G) = \text{Aut}(G). \) It follows that \( \hat{A}(\hat{z}) = r^u \) \((u \in \mathbb{R}).\) So the general form of a Hausdorff operator on \( (0, \infty) \) looks as follows \( (f : (0, \infty) \to \mathbb{C}, r > 0) \)
\[ \mathcal{H}_{\Phi, A} f(r) = \int_{G/K} \Phi(u) f\left(r^u\right) \, d\mu(u) \]
(we take \( \Omega = \mathbb{R}, \) and \( \mu \) is any positive measure on \( \mathbb{R}). \) Since \( G \) is commutative, \( \text{mod } A = 1 \) for all \( A \in \text{Aut}(G). \) So theorem 1 implies that \( \mathcal{H}_{\Phi, A} \) is bounded on \( L^p(0, \infty) \) if \( \Phi \in L^1(\mu) \) and in this case \( \left\| \mathcal{H}_{\Phi, A} \right\|_{L^p(0, \infty)} \leq \left\| \Phi \right\|_{L^1(\mu)}. \)

If we take in theorem 1 the space \( \mathbb{Z}_+ \) (endowed with counting measure) as \( \Omega, \) we arrive at the following.

**Corollary 1.** Let \( \Phi(j) \) be a sequence of complex numbers. Consider a discrete Hausdorff operator over \( G/K \)
\[ \mathcal{H}_{\Phi, A} f(\hat{x}) := \sum_{j=0}^\infty \Phi(j) f(\hat{A}(j)(\hat{x})) \]
(discrete Hausdorff operators were introduced in [12; 13]). Then
\[ \left\| \mathcal{H}_{\Phi, A} \right\|_{L^p(G/K)} \leq \sum_{j=0}^\infty |\Phi(j)| (\text{mod } A(j))^{-1/p}. \]

Putting
\[ \Phi(u) = \frac{\chi_{\{\text{mod } A(u) \geq 1\}}(u)}{\text{mod } A(u)} \]
in definition 2 \((\chi_E \text{ denotes the indicator function of the set } E), \) we get a Cesaro operator over \( G/K \) (cf. [8])
\[ C_{A, \mu} f(\hat{x}) := \int_{\{\text{mod } A(u) \geq 1\}} \frac{f(\hat{A}(u)(\hat{x}))}{\text{mod } A(u)} \, d\mu(u). \]

**Corollary 2.** For a Cesaro operator over \( G/K \) the following estimate holds
\[ \left\| C_{A, \mu} \right\|_{L^p(G/K)} \leq \int_{\{\text{mod } A(u) \geq 1\}} \frac{d\mu(u)}{(\text{mod } A(u))^{1/p}}. \]
Hausdorff operators on atomic Hardy space $H^1(G/K)$

The goal of this section is to introduce the atomic Hardy space $H^1(G/K)$ and to obtain conditions for boundedness of Hausdorff operators on this space.

In the rest of the paper as in [9] we assume in addition that $G$ is metrizable via a left invariant metric $\rho$ and the following doubling condition in a sense of [14] holds.

There exists a constant $C$ such that

$$\nu(B(x, 2r)) \leq C \nu(B(x, r))$$

for each $x \in G$ and $r > 0$. Here $B(x, r)$ denotes the ball of radius $r$ around $x$. The doubling constant is the smallest constant $C \geq 1$ for which the last inequality holds. We denote this constant by $C_\nu$. Then for each $x \in G$, $k \geq 1$ and $r > 0$

$$\nu(B(x, kr)) \leq C_\nu k^s \nu(B(x, r)),$$

(3)

where $s = \log_2 C_\nu$ (see, e. g., [15, p. 76]). The number $s$ takes the role of a «dimension» for a doubling metric measure space $G$.

To introduce the space $H^1(G/K)$ first recall that a function $a$ on $G$ is an atom if it satisfies the following conditions (see [14, p. 591]):

(i) the support of $a$ is contained in a ball $B(x, r)$;

(ii) $\int_G a(x) dx = 0$.

In case $\nu(G) < \infty$ we shall assume $\nu(G) = 1$. Then the constant function having value 1 is also considered to be an atom.

So by atom we mean an $(1, \infty)$-atom.

**Definition 3.** We define the Hardy space $H^1(G/K)$ as a space of such functions $f = \hat{g}$ on $G/K$ that $g$ admits an atomic decomposition of the form

$$g = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \alpha_j a_j,$$

(4)

where $a_j$ are right $K$-invariant atoms and $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_j| < \infty$. In this case,

$$\|f\|_{H^1(G/K)} := \inf_{\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_j| < \infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_j|,$$

infimum is taken over all decompositions above of $g$.

Thus, a function $f = \hat{g}$ from $H^1(G/K)$ admits an atomic decomposition $f = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \alpha_j \hat{a}_j$, such that $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_j| < \infty$, and

$$\|f\|_{H^1(G/K)} = \|\hat{g}\|_{H^1(G)}.$$

**Remark.** Real Hardy spaces over compact connected (not necessary quasi-metric) Abelian groups were defined in [16]. The case of semisimple Lie groups was considered earlier in [17].

**Proposition 2.** The space $H^1(G/K)$ is Banach. If, in addition, for some $h$ from $H^1(G)$ the function $x \mapsto \int k(h(xk))dk$ is not identically zero, the space $H^1(G/K)$ is non-trivial.

**Proof.** First, we shall show that $H^1(G/K)$ is complete. Note that since $\|a\|_{L^1(G)} \leq 1$ for each atom $a$, we have $\|\hat{a}\|_{L^1(G)} \leq \|\hat{a}\|_{H^1(G/K)}$ for each right $K$-invariant function $g \in H^1(G)$. Then for a function $f = \hat{g}$ we have $\|f\|_{L^1(G/K)} \leq \|f\|_{H^1(G/K)}$. Let a sequence $f_j \in H^1(G/K)$ be such that $\sum_j \|f_j\|_{H^1(G/K)} < \infty$. It is enough to prove that the series $\sum_j f_j$ converges in $H^1(G/K)$. The sequence $S_n$ of partial sums of this series is a Cauchy sequence in $L^1(G/K)$ because for $m < n$
\[
\left\| S_n - S_m \right\|_{L^1(G/K)} \leq \sum_{j=m+1}^{n} \left\| f_j \right\|_{L^1(G/K)} \leq \sum_{j=m+1}^{n} \left\| f_j \right\|_{L^1(G/K)}
\]

So the series \( \sum f_j \) converges in \( L^1(G/K) \) to some function \( f \). On the other hand, each \( f_j \) has an atomic decomposition \( f_j = \sum \alpha_{ij} a_j \) such that \( \sum |\alpha_{ij}| < 2 \left\| f_j \right\|_{L^1(G/K)} \). Then \( f \) has an atomic decomposition
\[
f = \sum \sum \alpha_{ij} a_j,
\]
and
\[
\sum \sum |\alpha_{ij}| < 2 \left\| f \right\|_{L^1(G/K)} < \infty.
\]
Thus, \( f \in H^1(G/K) \). Moreover,
\[
\left\| f - \sum_{j=1}^{n} f_j \right\|_{H^1(G/K)} \leq \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} \left\| f_j \right\|_{L^1(G/K)} \to as \ n \to \infty.
\]

Finally, we shall show that the space \( H^1(G/K) \) is non-trivial. It is enough to prove that non-trivial right \( K \)-invariant atoms exist. To this end, for an atom \( a \) on \( G \) let’s consider the function
\[
\alpha'(x) := c \int_G a(xk) dk.
\]
Then \( \alpha' \) is right \( K \)-invariant and satisfies (i) for every constant \( c > 0 \). Indeed, if \( a \) is supported in a ball \( B = B(e, r_g) \), then \( \alpha'(x) = 0 \) for \( x \notin BK \). Since
\[
p(e, xk) \leq p(e, x) + p(x, xk) = p(e, x) + p(e, k) < r_g + \text{dist}(e, K) =: r_g',
\]
for \( x \in B \), the set \( BK \) is contained in a ball \( B' = B(e, r_g') \). Thus, \( \alpha' \) is supported in \( B' \). From now on we assume that \( c = \sqrt{v(B)/v(B')} \). Then (ii) holds for \( \alpha' \) because \( \|\alpha'\|_{\infty} \leq c\|a\|_{\infty} \leq c/v(B) = 1/v(B') \) for such \( c \). The property (iii) for \( \alpha' \) follows from the equality (\( \Delta_c \) denotes the modular function)
\[
\int_G \alpha'(x) dx = c \int_G a(xk) dk dx = c \int_G \Delta_c(k) \int_G a(x) dx dk = 0.
\]
So, \( \alpha' \) is a right \( K \)-invariant atom. On the other hand, since \( h \in H^1(G) \), we have an atomic decomposition
\[
h = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \alpha_j a_j.
\]
Since, by assumption, the function
\[
x \mapsto \int_G h(xk) dk = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \alpha_j \int_K a_j(xk) dk
\]
is non-trivial, the right \( K \)-invariant atom \( \{a_j\}' \) is non-trivial for some \( j \), as well. This completes the proof.

In the proof of theorem 2 the next lemmas play an important role.

**Lemma 1** [8]. Let \( (\Omega, q, \mu) \) be \( \sigma \)-compact quasi-metric space with quasi-metric \( q \) and positive Radon measure \( \mu \). \((X, m)\) be a measure space and \( F(X) \) be some Banach space of \( m \)-measurable functions on \( X \). Assume that the convergence of a sequence strongly in \( F(X) \) yields the convergence of some subsequence to the same function for \( m \) a.e. \( x \in X \). Let \( F(u, x) \) be a function such that \( F(u, \cdot) \in F(X) \) for \( \mu \) a.e. \( u \in \Omega \) and the map \( u \mapsto F(u, \cdot) : \Omega \to F(X) \) is Bochner integrable with respect to \( \mu \). Then for \( m \) a.e. \( x \in X \) we have (below (B) means a Bochner integral)
\[
(B \int_{\Omega} F(u, \cdot) d\mu(u))(x) = \int_{\Omega} F(u, x) d\mu(u).
\]
Lemma 2 [9]. Every automorphism $A \in \text{Aut}(G)$ is Lipschitz. Moreover, one can choose the Lipschitz constant to be

$$L_A = \kappa \mod A,$$

where the constant $\kappa$ depends on the metric $\rho$ only.

Now we are in position to prove the following.

Theorem 2. Under the assumptions of definition 2 let $(\Omega, q, \mu)$ be $\sigma$-compact quasi-metric space with positive Radon measure $\mu$ and $\Phi \in L^1(k^* \mu)$ where $k(u) := \kappa \mod A(u)$. Then the operator $\mathcal{H}_{\Phi, A}$ is bounded on the space $H^1(G/K)$ and

$$\left\| \mathcal{H}_{\Phi, A} \right\|_{L^1(G/K)} \leq C \left\| \Phi \right\|_{L^1(k^* \mu)}.$$

Proof. We proceed as in the proof of the main theorem in [8; 9]. If we set $X = G/K$ and $m = \lambda$, the pair $(X, m)$ satisfies the conditions of lemma 1 with $H^1(G/K)$ in place of $\mathcal{F}(X)$. Indeed, let $f_n = \tilde{g}_n \in H^1(G/K)$, $f = \tilde{g} \in H^1(G/K)$, and $\left\| f_n - f \right\|_{H^1(G/K)} \to 0$ ($n \to \infty$). Since

$$\left\| f_n - f \right\|_{L^1(G/K)} = \int_G |g_n(x) - g(x)| d\lambda = \int_G |g_n(x) - g(x)| dx \leq \left\| g_n - g \right\|_{L^1(G)} = \left\| f_n - f \right\|_{H^1(G/K)} \to 0,$$

there is a subsequence of $f_n$ that converges to $f$ a.e. Then definition 3 and lemma 1 imply for $f \in H^1(G/K)$ that

$$\mathcal{H}_{\Phi, A} f = \int_{\Omega} \Phi(u) f \circ \tilde{A}(u) d\mu(u)$$

(the Bochner integral; $\circ$ stands for a composition sign). Therefore (below $f = \tilde{g}$)

$$\left\| \mathcal{H}_{\Phi, A} f \right\|_{L^1(G/K)} \leq \int_{\Omega} \left\| \Phi(u) \right\|_{L^1(G/K)} \left\| f \circ \tilde{A}(u) \right\|_{H^1(G/K)} d\mu(u) = \int_{\Omega} \left\| \Phi(u) \right\|_{L^1(G/K)} \left\| g \circ A(u) \right\|_{H^1(G)} d\mu(u).$$

If $g$ has representation (4) then

$$g \circ A(u) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \alpha_j a_j \circ A(u).$$

We claim that $b_{j, u} := C_v^{-1} k(u)^{-x'} a_j \circ A(u)$ is an atom, too. Indeed, lemma 2 implies that

$$A(u)^{-1}(B(x, r)) \subseteq B(x', k(u)r),$$

where $x' = A(u)^{-1}(x)$. If $a_j$ is supported in $B(x_j, r_j)$ then $b_{j, u}$ is supported in $B(x_j, k(u)r_j)$. So the condition (i) holds for $b_{j, u}$. Next, by (3) we have

$$\nu(B(x_j, k(u)r_j)) \leq C_v k(u)^{x} \nu(B(x_j, r_j)).$$

This implies that

$$\left\| a_j \circ A(u) \right\|_\nu = \left\| a_j \right\|_\nu \leq \frac{1}{\nu(B(x_j, r_j))} \leq C_v k(u)^{x} \frac{1}{\nu(B(x_j, k(u)r_j))}.$$

So, the condition (ii) is also fulfilled for $b_{j, u}$. The validity of (iii) follows from [11, chapter VII, subsection 1.4, formula (31)].

Finally, since formula (6) can be rewritten as

$$g \circ A(u) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left( C_v k(u)^{x} \alpha_j \right) b_{j, u},$$
we get\[\|\mathbf{g} \circ A(u)\|_{H^1(G)} \leq C \sqrt{\gamma} + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_j|,\]
which in turn implies that\[\|\mathbf{g} \circ A(u)\|_{H^1(G)} \leq C \sqrt{\gamma} + \|\mathbf{g}\|_{H^1(G)} = C \sqrt{\gamma} + \|\mathbf{f}\|_{H^1(G/K)}.
\]
Thus, the statement of the theorem follows from formula (5).

**Corollary 3.** Let the assumptions of theorem 2 hold. Then we have for a discrete Hausdorff operator over $G/K$ (see corollary 1)
\[\|\mathcal{H}_{\Phi, A}\|_{L^1(G/K)} \leq C \sqrt{\gamma} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\Phi(j)}{\text{mod} A(j)}\right)^{1 + s}.\]

**Corollary 4.** Let the assumptions of theorem 2 hold. Then we have for a Cesaro operator over $G/K$
\[\|C_{\Phi, A}\|_{L^1(G/K)} \leq C \sqrt{\gamma} \int_{\{\text{mod} A(u) \geq 1\}} \frac{d\mu(u)}{(\text{mod} A(u))^{1 + s}}.\]
(Recall, that for this operator $\Phi = \chi_{\{\text{mod} A(u) \geq 1\}} / \text{mod} A$, see corollary 2.)

**Concluding remarks**

It would be of interest to apply theorems 1 and 2 to classical homogeneous spaces such as Euclidean plane $\mathbb{R}^2 = M(2)/O(2)$, sphere $S^2 = O(3)/O(2)$, non-Euclidean plane $\mathbb{H} = SU(1, 1)/SO(2)$ [18, section 4], to other Riemannian symmetric spaces etc. Would also be of interest to generalize theorems 1 and 2 to the case when the group $K$ is non-compact.
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